

Workforce Disability Equality Standard (WDES) 2024

Indicator 1 - Percentage of staff in AfC (Agenda for Change) pay bands or medical and dental subgroups and very senior managers (including Executive Board members) compared with the percentage of staff in the overall workforce. Organisations should undertake this calculation separately for non-clinical and for clinical staff. Data for reporting year:

Clinical / Non- Clinical	WDES Banding	No	Yes	Unknown	Νο	Yes	Unknown
	Band 1	14	1	7	63.64%	4.55%	31.82%
	Band 2	611	39	112	80.18%	5.12%	14.70%
	Band 3	594	24	132	79.20%	3.20%	17.60%
	Band 4	161	6	66	69.10%	2.58%	28.33%
	Band 5	925	43	146	83.03%	3.86%	13.11%
	Band 6	812	53	163	78.99%	5.16%	15.86%
	Band 7	486	19	121	77.64%	3.04%	19.33%
	Band 8a	134	7	35	76.14%	3.98%	19.89%
	Band 8b	12	2	3	70.59%	11.76%	17.65%
	Band 8c	10	0	1	90.91%	0.00%	9.09%
	Band 8d	3	1	1	60.00%	20.00%	20.00%
	Band 9	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Clinical	Medical & Dental Consultant	168	3	79	67.20%	1.20%	31.60%
	Medical & Dental Non- Consultant Career Grade	130	1	28	81.76%	0.63%	17.61%
	Medical & Dental Trainee Grades	94	3	34	71.76%	2.29%	25.95%
	VSM	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	Other	1	0	0	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%

Wrightington, Wigan and Leigh Teaching Hospitals Nł

HS	Found	lation	Trust

							115 I Oundatio
	Band 1	4	2	1	57.14%	28.57%	14.29%
	Band 2	480	31	110	77.29%	4.99%	17.71%
	Band 3	381	33	97	74.56%	6.46%	18.98%
	Band 4	325	9	80	78.50%	2.17%	19.32%
	Band 5	149	11	21	82.32%	6.08%	11.60%
	Band 6	90	5	14	82.57%	4.59%	12.84%
Non- Clinical	Band 7	63	7	15	74.12%	8.24%	17.65%
	Band 8a	41	3	7	80.39%	5.88%	13.73%
	Band 8b	46	2	4	88.46%	3.85%	7.69%
	Band 8c	19	1	3	82.61%	4.35%	13.04%
	Band 8d	11	0	1	91.67%	0.00%	8.33%
	Band 9	7	0	3	70.00%	0.00%	30.00%
	VSM	8	0	0	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	Other	3	1	0	75.00%	25.00%	0.00%
	-	Band 2 Band 3 Band 4 Band 5 Band 6 Band 6 Band 7 Band 8a Band 8b Band 8c Band 8d Band 9 VSM	Band 2 480 Band 3 381 Band 4 325 Band 5 149 Band 6 90 Band 7 63 Iinical Band 8a 41 Band 8b 46 Band 8c 19 Band 9 7 VSM 8	Band 2 480 31 Band 3 381 33 Band 4 325 9 Band 5 149 11 Band 6 90 5 Band 7 63 7 Band 8a 41 3 Band 8b 466 2 Band 8c 19 1 Band 8d 11 0 Band 9 7 0 VSM 88 0	Band 2 480 31 110 Band 3 381 33 97 Band 4 325 9 80 Band 5 149 11 21 Band 6 90 5 14 Band 7 63 7 15 Band 8a 41 3 7 Band 8b 46 2 4 Band 8c 19 1 3 Band 9 7 0 3 VSM 8 0 0	Band 2 480 31 110 77.29% Band 3 381 33 97 74.56% Band 4 325 9 80 78.50% Band 5 149 11 21 82.32% Band 6 90 5 14 82.57% Band 7 63 7 15 74.12% Band 8a 41 3 7 80.39% Band 8a 41 3 7 80.39% Band 8c 19 1 3 82.61% Band 8d 11 0 1 91.67% Band 9 7 0 3 70.00%	Band 142157.14%28.57%Band 24803111077.29%4.99%Band 3381339774.56%6.46%Band 432598078.50%2.17%Band 5149112182.32%6.08%Band 69051482.57%4.59%Band 69051482.57%4.59%Band 76371574.12%8.24%Band 8a413780.39%5.88%Band 8b4662488.46%3.85%Band 8c191382.61%4.35%Band 8d110191.67%0.00%VSM800100.00%0.00%

	No	Yes	Unknown
Total Headcount	5782	307	1284
Percentage	78.4%	4.2%	17.4%
Total			

Metric 2 - Recruitment

Relative likelihood of non-disabled staff compared to Disabled staff being appointed from shortlisting across all posts.

Note:

i) This refers to both external and internal posts.

ii) If your organisation implements a guaranteed interview scheme, the data may not be comparable with organisations that do not operate such a scheme. This information will be collected on the Survey section to ensure comparability between organisations.

	Disabled	Non-disabled	Disability Unknown
Number of shortlisted applicants	438 *	5805 *	255 *
Number appointed from shortlisting	54 *	1049 *	82 *
Likelihood of shortlisting/appointed	0.12	0.18	0.32

Relative likelihood of non-disabled staff compared to Disabled staff being appointed from shortlisting across all posts

1.47

2.898132

Metric 3 - Capability

Relative likelihood of Disabled staff compared to non-disabled staff entering the formal capability process, as measured by entry into the formal capability procedure.

i. This Metric will be based on data from a two-year rolling average of the current year and the previous year.

ii. This metric applies to capability on the grounds of performance and not ill health.

iii. If a member of staff enters the capability process for reasons of **both** performance **and** ill health, they should not be included in the count of "ill health only" cases.

iv. For clarification: the data required is the numbers of staff **entering** the capability process from 1 April 2022 to 31 March 2024, divided by 2.

	Disabled	Non-disabled	Disability Unknown		
Number of staff in workforce	307	5782	1284		
Average number of staff entering the formal capability process for any reason	2 *	13 *	6 *		
Of these, how many are on the grounds of ill health only?	0 *	0 *	0 *		
Likelihood of staff entering the formal capability process	0.006515	0.002248	0.004673		

Relative likelihood of Disabled staff entering the formal capability process compared to non-disabled staff

Metric 4

a) Percentage of Disabled staff compared to non-disabled staff experiencing harassment, bullying or abuse from:

i. Patients/Service users, their relatives or other members of the public

Disabled Staff: 23.27% Non-Disabled Staff: 18.31%

ii. Managers

Disabled Staff: 15.94% Non-Disabled Staff: 6.57%



iii. Other colleagues

Disabled Staff: 24.36% Non-Disabled Staff: 14.60%

b) Percentage of Disabled staff compared to non-disabled staff saying that the last time they experienced harassment, bullying or abuse at work, they or a colleague reported it:

Disabled staff: 50.00% Non-Disabled Staff: 50.20%

Metric 5

Percentage of Disabled staff compared to non-disabled staff believing that the Trust provides equal opportunities for career progression or promotion.

Disabled Staff: 48.71% Non-Disabled Staff: 58.55%

Metric 6

Percentage of Disabled staff compared to non-disabled staff saying that they have felt pressure from their manager to come to work, despite not feeling well enough to perform their duties.

Disabled Staff: 29.38% Non-Disabled Staff: 17.05%

Metric 7

Percentage of Disabled staff compared to non-disabled staff saying that they are satisfied with the extent to which their organisation values their work.

Disabled Staff: 35.31% Non-Disabled Staff: 47.10%



Metric 8

Percentage of Disabled staff saying that their employer has made adequate adjustment(s) to enable them to carry out their work.

Disabled Staff: 66.49%

Metric 9

a) The staff engagement score for Disabled staff, compared to non-disabled staff.

Disabled Staff: 6.44 Non-Disabled Staff: 7.08

b) Has your Trust taken action to facilitate the voices of Disabled staff in your organisation to be heard?

Yes – Regular disability & long term conditions staff network events planned throughout the year

Metric 10 - Board voting membership

Percentage difference between the organisation's Board voting membership and its organisation's overall workforce, disaggregated:

- By voting membership of the Board
- By executive membership of the Board

The data for this metric should be a snapshot as of 31st March 2024.

There are no reported disabled staff for voting or non-voting Board members.

Wrightington, Wigan and Leigh Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust

	Disabled		N	lon-disabled		Dis	sability Unknown		Total
Total Board members	0	*		15	*	1		*	16
How many are voting members?	0	*		13	*	1		*	14
Number of non-voting members	0			2		0			2
How many are Exec Board members?	0	*		9	*	0		*	9
Number of non-exec members	0			6		1			7
Number of staff in overall workforce (from Metric 1)	307			5782		1.	284		7373
Total Board members - % by Disability	0	%		93.75	%		6.25	%	
Voting Board members - % by Disability	0	%		92.86	%		7.14	%	
Non-Voting Board Member - % by Disability	0	%		100	%		0	%	
Executive Board Member - % by Disability	0	%		100	%		0	%	
Non-Executive Board Member - % by Disability	0	%		85.71	%		14.29	%	
Overall workforce - % by Disability	4.16	%		78.42	%		17.41	%	
Difference % (Total Board - Overall workforce)	-4.16	%		15.33	%		-11.16	%	
Difference % (Voting membership - Overall Workforce)	-4.16	%		14.44	%		-10.27	%	
Difference % (Executive membership - Overall Workforce)	-4.16	%		21.58	%		-17.41	%	